	Case 3.15-cv-00241-RCJ-WGC Document	. 123 Filed 00/07/10 Page 1 01 2
1		
1		
2		
3		
4 5		
6	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,	3:15-cv-00241-RCJ-WGC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	vs.	Re: ECF Nos. 111, 112, 115
12	SFR INVESTMENT POOL 1, LLC, etc., et al.	
13	Defendants.	
14	)	
15	Before the court is Plaintiff U.S. Bank National Association's (U.S. Bank) Motion for Attorney	
16	Fees & Costs Following Motion to Compel Discovery (Electronic Case Filing (ECF) No. 111)	
17	Declaration of Natalie C. Lehman in Support of Motion for Attorney's Fees Following Motion to	
18	Compel Discovery (ECF No. 112) and Supplement to Motion for Attorney's Fees & Costs to Compe	
19	Discovery (ECF No. 115). No responses were filed by the parties, Alessi & Koenig, LLC (Alessi &	
20	Koenig) and D'Andrea Community Association (D'Andrea), against which the award of fees and cost	
21	is sought.1	
22	On April 22, 2016, this court entered its order granting U.S. Bank's motion to compel discover	
23	as against D'Andrea and Alessi & Koenig. (ECF No. 113.) The court ordered that Alessi & Koenig and	
24	D'Andrea shall respond to U.S. Bank's Interrogatories and Requests for Production no later tha	
25	May 22, 2016. U.S. Bank's Request for Admissions to Alessi & Koenig and D'Andrea were deeme	
26		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	<sup>1</sup> U.S. Bank filed a document entitled "Declaration of Natalie C. Lehman, Esq. In Support of the Plaintiff, U.S. Bank N.A.'s Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from D'Andrea Community Association and Alessi & Koenig, LLC. (EC No. 119.) In its Notice of Errata Regarding Title of Docket No. 119, U.S. Bank stated the title of No. 119 should have instead	

been titled, "U.S. Bank's Notice of Completion of Mediation Pursuant to NRS 38.310" and was not intended to apply to the motion to compel or its motion for attorneys fees and costs. (ECF No. 120.)

## Case 3:15-cv-00241-RCJ-WGC Document 123 Filed 06/07/16 Page 2 of 2 admitted. (ECF No. 111 at 2.) The record contains no suggestion the Defendants have responded to Plaintiff's discovery as the court ordered. Plaintiff U.S. Bank seeks an award of attorneys fees and costs incurred by reason of Defendants' failure to respond to Plaintiff's discovery and in having to bring its motion to compel. (ECF No. 111.)

a Supplement to Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs, seeks an additional \$2,471.00 in attorneys fees (ECF No. 115-2 at 2) and costs (deposition appearance fees; ECF No. 115-1 at 2-3) in the amount of \$725.60.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 (c)(1)(A) and (d)(3) authorize this court to award a party which prevails on

Plaintiff initially sought an award of attorneys fees of \$3,030.50 (ECF Nos. 111, 112) and thereafter in

Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 (c)(1)(A) and (d)(3) authorize this court to award a party which prevails on a motion to compel its attorneys fees incurred in making the motion unless the failure was substantially justified or other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust. A reasonable opportunity was afforded Defendants D'Andrea and Alessi & Koenig to respond, but Defendants failed to do so.

The court finds that amount of fees set forth in the declaration of counsel (ECF No. 112-1) and the supplement to the motion (ECF No. 115) to be reasonable. Plaintiff is awarded attorneys fees of \$5,501.50 and costs in the amount of \$723.60, for a total award of \$6,225.10.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 7, 2016.

WILLIAM G. COBB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE